

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/826,985	04/19/2004	Shijian Luo	2269-5565.1US (02-1124.01	3493		
TRASK BRIT	7590 04/15/200 T, P.C./ MICRON TEC	EXAM	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 2550			STARK, JA	STARK, JARRETT J		
SALTLAKE	CITY, UT 84110	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			2823			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			04/15/2008	ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTOMail@traskbritt.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/826,985		LUO ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Jarrett J. Stark	2823		

	Janeu J. Stark	2023					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>28 March 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal felp in compliance with 37 CFR 1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) Mean the period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later, no event, however, will be statutory period for reply expires on event, however, will be statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date in have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of valued of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office there may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origithan three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filled, any reply must be filled within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better They are not deemed to place the application in better They are not deemed to place the application in better They are not deemed to place the application in better They are not deemed to place the application in better They are not deemed to place the application in better They are not deemed to place the application in better They are not deemed to place the application in better They are not deemed to place the application in better They are not deemed to place the application in better They are not deemed to place the application in better They are not deemed to place the application in better They are not deemed to place the application in better They are not deemed to place the application in better They are not deemed to place the application in better They are not deemed to place the application in better They are not deemed to place the application in better They are not deemed to place the application in better They are not deemed to place the application in better They are not deemed to place the application in better They are not deemed to place the application in better They are not deemed to place the application in better They are not deemed to place the application in better They are not deemed to place the application in better The not deemed to place the application in better The not deemed to place the application in better The not deemed to place the application in better The not deemed to place the application in better the application in better The not deemed to place the application in better The not deemed to place the application in better the applic	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);					
appeal; and/or	,,, ,						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov where the proposed amendment is a proving the proposed amendment (s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the proposed amendment (s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the proposed amendment (s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the proposed amendment (s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected in the proposed amendment (s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected in the proposed amendment (s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected in the proposed amendment (s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected in the proposed amendment (s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected in the proposed amendment (s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected in the proposed amendment (s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected in the proposed amendment (s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected in the proposed amendment (s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected in the proposed amendment (s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected in the proposed amendment (s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected in the proposed amendment (s): a) [how the new or amendment (s): a)		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-29.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
-							
	/Michelle Estrada/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2823					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed 3/28/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding the Applicant's arguments that the prior art references not having teaching, suggestion, and motivation (TSM), it has been held that TSM is not required to show a prima facie case of obviousness. Clear articulated rationale can be provided to show and support a prima facie case of obviousness. See KSR Int'I Co. v. Telefiex Inc.

In the instant case clear articulated rationale has been presented in the previous Office actions. The rational as previously presented in summery is as follows;

The cited prior art references teaches the same claimed process under the same claimed condition using the same claimed materials. It is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art and naturally follows that the prior art process being performed under the same conditions and upon the same materials will have the same results as claimed.